

Source	Comment	Response
PCCEP Member (Town Hall)	The report should be revised to include examples of how the COCL would expect PPB to include the views of the community in future training	We have revised our report to identify ways in which PPB could include the views of the community in future training.
PCCEP Member (Town Hall)	The report should include general information about the recent protests (for instance, news stories, videos, etc.) and comment, even if COCL is still waiting for additional information in order to make a full assessment.	While we have access to news reports, videos, and other publicly available information, we feel it prudent to have in our possession a more complete body of information (including FDCRs and AARs) before making any objective assessment about the use of force or PPB's management of their response. However, we have added a few lines to the report acknowledging the severity of these events and our plans to comment more thoroughly in Q3.
PCCEP Member (Town Hall)	The report should note that there was no community engagement on the choice of the new police chief.	We will note that PCCEP was not asked for input on the selection of the new police chief.
PCCEP Member (Town Hall)	The report should provide more context when discussing PCCEP votes. For instance, although the PCCEP voted to find that the City and PPB were substantially compliant with the letter of the Settlement Agreement, they also voted on an addendum that the spirit of the Settlement Agreement had not been met. Similarly, the PCCEP recommended a ban on the use of tear gas whereas Mayor Wheeler only limited the use.	We have revised our report to reflect this comment.
PCCEP Member (Town Hall)	The report should include general information about complaints to IA and IPR related to protests	Similar to our comment above, we believe it more prudent to provide an assessment in our next report (when details are available to us) rather than comment in the present report.
Community Member (Town Hall)	The report should provide data related to the number of persons in mental health crisis taken to a hospital (including Unity Center).	As noted in our report on page 29, calls involving a mental health component that do not meet the ECIT criteria have a 24.8% probability of resulting in a transport to the hospital if an ECIT officer is on-scene and a 18.4% probability if no ECIT officer is on-scene. This was based on 1,780 such calls and we have revised our report to reflect the number of calls.
Community Member (Town Hall)	The report should provide data related to the number of persons taken from hospitals (including Unity Center) to jail.	To address this question, the COCL would need data from agencies outside the scope of our authority as defined in the Settlement Agreement. However, in response to questions raised by Judge Simon at the April 19, 2018 status hearing, the DOJ conducted an analysis of arrests at the Unity Center. In that assessment, the DOJ stated "For the 15-month period from Unity Center's opening on January 31, 2017, until April 30, 2018, there were 30 arrests. Of the 30 arrestees, 10 were current patients, 5 were not patients, and 15 were discharged patients. During that same 15-month period, Unity's Psychiatric Emergency Services ("PES") served 6,540 individuals over 11,993 visits, and Unity's Mental Health Inpatient Care program served 2,281 individuals over 2,977 admissions. The totals - 8,821 individuals served across 14,970 encounters - show that the ratio of arrests to individuals served is .0034, or less than half of one percent."
Community Member (Town Hall)	The report should provide an assessment of whether Unity Center has continued to use pepper spray.	To address this question, the COCL would need data and information from an agency that is outside the scope of our authority as defined in the Settlement Agreement. Persons interested in this information should review the Unity Center's policies and contact the Unity Center for more information.

Community Member (Town Hall)	The report should provide data related to the number of administrative complaints involving persons in mental health crisis.	Presently, neither IA nor IPR collects this information in a way that would allow for such analysis. We have revised our report to suggest this be collected while respecting the privacy of persons living with mental illness.
Community Member (Town Hall)	The report should provide an assessment as to the Mental Health Alliance's level of engagement with persons in the mental health system.	To address this question, the COCL would need data and information from an agency that is outside the scope of our authority as defined in the Settlement Agreement. Persons interested in this information should contact the MHA directly.
Community Member	There is concern about the measures the COCL uses to assess compliance and that the report comes across as "tone deaf" to what is occurring in Portland	We ask community members to remember that it took PPB and the City more than five years to achieve compliance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement and with the measures imbedded in that legal document. We refer you to the Settlement Agreement to see how it structured. Over the past 5+ years, the COCL team has been evaluating progress on specific paragraphs of the Settlement Agreement and the development and maintenance of internal systems. To do this, we have used best practices in policy, training, and accountability to measure real-world impacts. No doubt, the world of policing has changed since the death of George Floyd, and we will take that into account in Q3.
Portland Copwatch	The report should be revised to provide additional details on PCEP's recommendation regarding arbitrator's abilities to reduce the discipline a City imposes on an officer.	We have revised our report to reflect this comment.
Portland Copwatch	The report should be revised to note that IPR is required to compel officer testimony through the PPB and that IPR is prohibited by the police contract from investigating deadly force cases.	We have revised our report to reflect this comment.
Portland Copwatch	The report should be revised to include a description of the force allegations which had "no basis in fact."	We have revised our report to include a general description of IPR's assessment that the allegation had no basis in fact.
Portland Copwatch	The report should be revised to include information regarding what happened to the supervisor who did not address mitigating or aggravating factors when determining discipline.	We have revised our report to suggest PPB ensure that all supervisors include a description of the mitigating and aggravating factors when determining discipline. While the specific Corrective Action Recommendation Memorandum did not include a description of these factors, this does not necessarily mean that the supervisor did not consider these factors - just that they were not described in the memorandum.
Portland Copwatch	The report should be revised to better define "traumatic incident"	We have revised our report to include event types which would be considered a traumatic incident.