

Source	Comment	Response
Community members (Town Hall)/Portland Copwatch	Your budget statistics in the report emphasize that PPB has a small budget. These statistics are inaccurate and should be revised or supplemented. For instance, whereas the report notes that that PPB has the 49 <sup>th</sup> lowest police budget of the largest 50 cities by percentage (4.4%), PPB has the 31 <sup>st</sup> highest budget in terms of raw dollars (\$245 million).	The statistics present are not COCL statistics – they were produced by 24/7 Wallstreet as part of a national study comparing the budgets of the 50 largest law enforcement agencies in the U.S. As we have indicated many times on other topics, raw numbers do not tell the whole story and can be misleading. We have removed most of the statistical details but not the point of comparison.
Portland Copwatch (Town Hall)	The report gives attention to violence by the community but not violence by the police	We have given attention to both sources of violence and explicitly condemned violence by either side. Additionally, we noted the need for both to be held accountable for their actions.
PCCEP Member (Town Hall)/Portland Copwatch/Various Community Members	Please clarify whether the 485 officers who did not get Use of Force training are working on the streets of Portland without State certification	No PPB officers were working without State certification. As a result of the pandemic, the Oregon Department of Safety Standards and Training (DPSST) did not suspend anyone's certification in Oregon for not receiving the required 8 hours of firearms/use of force training (DPSST itself was closed for an extended period). We have revised our report to update this.
Portland Copwatch (Town Hall)	The Traffic Stop Data Report for 2018 report was supposed to have a breakout of the Gun Violence Reduction Team that was never updated. The 2019 has not been published and it is October 2020.	The 2019 report was expected in October, outside the time period for this report. The Gun Violence Reduction team was dissolved by the Mayor in June 2020.
Portland Copwatch (Town Hall)	The report does not include an appropriate analysis of the CRC when noting the CRC is not following their standard of review because somebody said they can see both sides of an issue having merit.	Our report does not find that the CRC is regularly violating their standard of review. Instead, our report states that these types of statements indicate two different positions may be reasonable and, when that occurs, the CRC's standard of review requires them to defer to the finding of PPB, even if they disagree.
Portland Copwatch (Town Hall)	No mention at all about the officer's lack of ID and that the chief allowed this.	PPB policy allows for officers to use personnel numbers (rather than nametags) in certain situations to avoid harassment when off duty. Although we respect community members' disagreement with this policy and urge continued conversation with PPB on this issue.
PCCEP Member (Town Hall)	The top 3 reasons provided in the report for PPB falling out compliance were COVID, the protests, and PPB's	Most of the systems remain in place and are operating as intended. However, as we note in the report, the issues listed overwhelmed certain systems and we have

Source	Comment	Response
	budget. If these issues were not present, would there be lingering systematic issues?	recommended that PPB make adjustments to their systems so they are better prepared for large unexpected events.
PCCEP Member (Town Hall)	We understand that the report can't speak to force because the data are not there to review, but the report should reflect how community members are feeling (that there is an increase in force).	We have revised our introduction to highlight this concern.
PCCEP Members (Town hall)	The City/PPB has failed to respond to most of our recommendations in a timely manner. This represents a problem in PCCEP's relationship with the City/PPB and COCL's assessment of how well the PCCEP is functioning.	We discussed this problem in our report and noted that going forward, the Mayor and PCCEP agreed to quarterly meetings to maintain a dialogue and ensure there are updates regarding PCCEP's recommendations. Therefore, although we acknowledge this concern, we believe that a durable remedy has been put into place.
PCCEP Member (Town hall)	The report should change wording from "mental illness" and "mental health crisis" to use the term "behavioral health."	Although we are aware that the term "behavioral health" has become common-place, we disagree that it is an appropriate term to describe persons living with mental illness, persons living with addiction, or persons with dual-diagnoses. Recently, a statement from the Mental Health Alliance provided to the PCCEP and all Parties explained the issues with the term "behavioral health," particularly the notion that "Mental illnesses, alcoholism, and addition are not behaviors in any respect...A disease is not misconduct, and not something changed by amending behavior."
PCCEP/Community Member (Town Hall)	How does the COCL justify the compliance rating for the Unity Center when it is under investigation?	As we noted in our 2020 Q1 report, we acknowledge the concerns regarding the overall operation of the Unity Center. However, the Unity Center is not a party to the Settlement Agreement and falls under the responsibility of the CCO's. As our role is to evaluate PPB and the City's efforts, we maintain they have acted in accordance with the Settlement Agreement's expectations.
PCCEP/Community Member (Town Hall)	How has PPB learned from the protests 4 years ago? How are they involving the community?	The protests four years ago were not of the same scale as the 2020 protests. PPB is conducting debriefings internally to learn from the latest protests. Also, they are engaging many segments of the Portland community as described in its Community Engagement Plan.
PCCEP/Community Member (Town Hall)	What is the purpose of the settlement agreement if not concerned with outcomes?	As we have noted in prior reports, the outcomes prescribed by the Settlement Agreement include the creation of

Source	Comment	Response
		systems for PPB and the City to conduct reliable data collection, analysis and self-correction. We have found PPB and the City to have fallen out of compliance for this quarter, and our assessment continues to hinge on the utilization and execution of these systems.
Portland Copwatch	The PCCEP has failed to vote on support for metrics suggested by the AMAC. The metrics were requested by Judge Simon in the February 2020 hearing.	The Steering Committee and full PCCEP have discussed the metrics proposed by the Albina Ministerial Alliance Coalition (AMAC) and Mental Health Association (MHA). As we noted, they declined to vote on the metrics document, opting to instead to incorporate some of the proposed metrics into their codification work.
Portland Copwatch	The report ignores ongoing issues around race	In our report, we not only discuss the racial justice protest, but we provide statistics indicating that “Black drivers continue to be over-represented in PPB traffic stops” and point out that the disparities continue to increase in the first half of 2020.
Portland Copwatch	The report does not comment on the PPB’s annual report for 2019 not being ready as of October 2020.	We gave considerable attention to PPB’s delay in releasing its 2019 annual report. October is part of the 4 <sup>th</sup> quarter.
Portland Copwatch	The report does not comment on the use of deadly force against people in mental health crisis – the focus on the DOJ Agreement.	We have revised our report to provide comment on this issue
Portland Copwatch	The report fails to address why Sergeants do not go to the scene to investigate possible misconduct for protest force (Par. 70)	Given safety concerns and the ongoing nature of a protest, it may be impractical for a supervisor to respond to the scene of a use of force. We have revised our report to note this.
Portland Copwatch	The COCL reported auditing 20 cases of non-protest force including “corrective action.” Since it is extremely rare for there to be a finding of misconduct in a use of force case, the COCL should explain why discipline was considered.	As we note in our report, our review of the cases indicated that, where appropriate, formal and <u>non-formal</u> corrective action was taken. Non-formal action may be in the form of a debrief and does not necessarily indicate the officer was disciplined.
Portland Copwatch	The report does not note that CRC held their first two appeal hearings since January in August and September.	We’ve revised our report to include this.
Portland Copwatch	The report should note that no cases went to City Council in Q2 because the only case CRC heard before Q2 was sent back for more investigation.	We’ve revised our report to include this.
Portland Copwatch	The report should include supporting data regarding BOEC decisions to send calls to the MCCL.	We’ve revised our report to include this.

Source	Comment	Response
Portland Copwatch	The report should clarify the TrackIt system for complaints and commendations which get forwarded to “appropriate units.”	We have revised our report to include a description of TrackIt, which is an online system that allows community members to track the status of their requests for service or complaints.
Portland Copwatch	The COCL uses the demeaning (and undefined) term “PS3” when referring to the Training Advisory Council’s working group on the Bureau’s Public Safety Support Specialists	We did not view this term as demeaning, but we will revise our report to spell out the words involved.
Portland Copwatch	The report uses the term “citizen-initiated calls” when should be changed to “community member-initiated.”	This terminology refers to work produced by TAC, so we cannot change their language. However, in one place we used the term “citizen complaints” and we have replaced it.
Community Member	PCCEP does not represent the Portland community. Two youth organizations are over-represented as members and may have a conflict of interest with the City.	We did not find any evidence of a conflict of interest, but we agree that PCCEP could be more broadly representative. We have revised our report to indicate as much.